



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,190	12/09/1999	BRADLEY CAIN	2204/185	8564	
34845	7590 12/15/2003	•	EXAMINER		
STEUBING AND MCGUINESS & MANARAS LLP			VO, LILIAN		
	I25 NAGOG PARK ACTON, MA 01720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2127	/1	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				PRG				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
~	Advisory Action	09/458,190	CAIN, BRADLEY					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lilian Vo	2127					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	'ess				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) [	· = · · · · · · · <del></del>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in								
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>								
3 □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
	<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
5.🖂								
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ⋈ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) $\square$ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9.	. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/458,190

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: New limitations added to claims 1, 6 and 11 as amended change the scope of the claims. Thus, they raise new issues that would require further search.

In response to applicant's remarks, regarding Lim's teaching of "a trigger event" is merely appears to infer that some event happens to cause a processor increase its own priority and that describing only a priority of the processor changes and not a priority of a task, as this point has already been addressed in the Final Office Action, the examiner disagrees. As stated in col. 15, lines 8 – 29, and also in the rejection, the changes in the processors' priorities are based on the priority of the task or the priority of the new operation. Furthermore, the priorities can be dynamically changed to more accurately reflect the importance, priority or other characteristics of the tasks, operations or applications being performed by the processors. Hence, it inherently discloses of detecting a trigger condition when the priority of the task changes and/or switching to perform a high-priority task.

Issue regarding improper hindsight raised by applicant has also been addressed in the Final Office Action.

WILLIAM GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100